

UPDATED

AB 1897 (HERNANDEZ) - JOB KILLER



September 3, 2014

The Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Jr. Governor, State of California State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: AB 1897 (HERNANDEZ) LABOR CONTRACTING: CLIENT LIABILITY REQUEST FOR VETO

Dear Governor Brown:

The California Chamber of Commerce and the organizations listed below respectfully request your **VETO** of **AB 1897 (Hernandez)**, as amended August 22, 2014, which has been identified as a **JOB KILLER**. This bill forces one company to essentially insure the wage and hour obligations and workers' compensation coverage, of a separate employer's employees, which will discourage the use of contractors and their employees.

AB 1897 Holds an Innocent Business Liable for the Employment Obligations of Another Employer:

The most recent amendments to **AB 1897** exempted small businesses, motor carriers of property, motor club services, cable operators, satellite cable providers, telephone corporations, motion picture payroll services, hiring halls, and non-profit community organizations from the onerous provisions of the bill. **However**, the majority of employers in California will still be unfairly held liable for the wage and hour violations of another employer that they could neither control nor prevent. Specifically, **AB 1897** mandates that any "client employer," defined as any entity that obtains or is provided workers for labor from a "labor contractor," defined as an individual or entity that supplies labor to a client employer, shall be liable for the (1) payment of wages of the contractor's employees; (2) the contractor's worker's compensation coverage; and (3) occupational health and safety requirements. This liability under **AB 1897** is extended to an innocent third party that did not contribute to the violations, control the working conditions of the employee, control the manner of payment, dictate the employees' schedules, or even control the work environment of those employees.

Currently, a third party may be liable for the labor obligations of another employer under two legal theories: (1) joint employer liability or (2) independent contractor misclassification. The key factor for both of these analyses is that the third party exerted such <u>control</u> over the working conditions of the contractor's employees that essentially the third party acted as the real employer. See Bradley v. California Dept. of Corrections and Rehabilitation, 158 Cal.App.4th 1612 (2008) (state agency exerted sufficient control over individual to be considered employer for purposes of FEHA); Martinez v. Combs 49 Cal.4th 35 (2010) (stating that for joint employer liability, employee must prove the third party exerted control over the working conditions of the employee); S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations, 48 Cal.3d 341 (1989) ("the principal test of an employment relationship is whether the person to whom service is rendered has the right to control the manner and means of accomplishing the result desired"); Cristler v. Express Messenger Systems, Inc., 171 Cal.App.4th 72 (2009) (same); Lara v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Bd., 182 Cal.App.4th 393 (2010) (same). The burden to establish either theory is on the employee who is seeking to hold the third-party liable for any alleged employment violations.

AB 1897 completely ignores this long-standing common law analysis and imposes liability despite the lack of any control exerted by the third-party. For example, under **AB 1897**, a winery could be held joint and severally liable for the wage and hour violations of a bottling service company performing work on the winery's premises. Similarly, a farmer could be held liable for the violations of a pesticide company providing pesticide services on agricultural land. This liability would apply even though the business neither knew nor had the opportunity to prevent any violations from actually occurring. Such an expansion of liability to innocent parties is simply unreasonable and unprecedented.

AB 1897 Will Create Significant Litigation:

As a part of the Labor Code, any violation of **AB 1897** will trigger a potential representative action under the Labor Code Private Attorney General Act (PAGA), Labor Code Section 2699, *et seq.*, thereby expanding the threat of onerous litigation against any third party that utilizes contractors as a part of its usual course of business. To the extent a third party is held liable under PAGA for the employment obligations of another, there will unquestionably be a second lawsuit for indemnity between the third party and actual employer.

The judicial branch has suffered severe budget cuts over the last three years, with multiple courthouses shut down and drastic staff reductions made, thereby significantly delaying the time it takes for civil disputes to be resolved. Forcing an innocent third party to pursue litigation that may take years in order to recover monies paid out for the violations of another is simply unfair.

Adequate Protections Already Exist for Documented Problems With Contracting:

For those industries in which there has been documented evidence of unlawful contracting practices and abuse of contracted labor, the Legislature has already enacted laws to address and prevent such abuses. Specifically, for several industries, including farm labor, garment, construction, security guards, janitorial, and, most recently, warehouse workers, Labor Code Section 2810 holds the entity that contracts for workers in those industries liable if the contract for such labor does not include the following: (1) a description of the total hours to be worked, the total wages to be paid, and the dates of payment; (2) the worker's compensation policy and insurance carrier information; (3) the employer tax identification number; (4) the address of where the work will be performed; and, (5) the name, address, and telephone number of the person or entity through whom the labor or services are to be provided. **AB 1897** expands liability to all industries and all individuals who contract for labor, despite the lack of any evidence that there is a need beyond the industries already regulated.

Moreover, Labor Code Section 2810.5, which took effect in 2013, requires all employers, including temporary staffing agencies, to provide at the time of hire a notification to the employee of the following information: (1) the name and address of the employer and, if a temporary staffing agency, the location of where the employee will be performing work; (2) the telephone number of the employer; (3) the name

and address of the worker's compensation carrier; (4) the employee's rate of pay; and, (5) the regular pay date. This notification specifically addresses and combats the claim made by proponents that temporary staffing employees are not provided with such important information. Accordingly, such a drastic measure as **AB 1897** is unnecessary given current protections in the law.

For these reasons, we request your VETO of AB 1897 when it comes before you for consideration.

Sincerely,

California Chamber of Commerce Agricultural Council of California Associated Builders and Contractors of California Associated General Contractors Building Owners and Managers Association of California California Ambulance Association California Apartment Association California Asian Chamber of Commerce California Association of Winegrape Growers California Business Properties Association California Chapter of American Fence Association California Citrus Mutual California Coalition on Workers' Compensation California Cotton Growers Association California Cotton Ginners Association California Employment Law Council California Farm Bureau Federation California Fence Contractors' Association California Grape and Tree Fruit League California Grocers Association California Hospital Association California Hotel and Lodging Association California Land Title Association California Landscape Contractors Association California League of Food Processors California Manufacturers and Technology Association California Newspaper Publishers Association California Pool and Spa Association California Restaurant Association California Retailers Association California Trucking Association Chambers of Commerce Alliance of Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties Civil Justice Association of California **Custom Logistics & Delivery Association** Desert Hot Springs Chamber of Commerce & Visitors Center El Dorado County Chamber of Commerce Family Business Association Family Winemakers of California Flasher Barricade Association Fullerton Chamber of Commerce Greater Bakersfield Chamber of Commerce International Council of Shopping Centers International Franchise Association International Warehouse Logistics Association Irwindale Chamber of Commerce Marin Builders Association NAIOP of California, the Commercial Real Estate Development Association National Federation of Independent Business Oxnard Chamber of Commerce Personal Insurance Federation of California Redondo Beach Chamber of Commerce San Diego East County Chamber of Commerce San Gabriel Valley Legislative Coalition of Chambers San Jose Silicon Valley Chamber of Commerce Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce and Convention-Visitors Bureau Simi Valley Chamber of Commerce South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce Southwest California Legislative Council TechAmerica TechNet The Chamber of Commerce of the Santa Barbara Region The United Chambers of Commerce of the San Fernando Valley **Torrance Area Chamber of Commerce** Ventura Chamber of Commerce Visalia Chamber of Commerce Western Agricultural Processors Association Western Growers Association Wine Institute

cc: The Honorable Roger Hernandez Camille Wagner, Office of the Governor David Lanier, Office of the Governor